

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------|---------------------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 09/476,711 | 12/30/1999 | DAVID O. MCGOVERAN | 8198 | |
| 75 | 7590 08/18/2006 | | EXAMINER | |
| GEORGE S COLE | | | BOYCE, ANDRE D | |
| | COURT SUITE 101 TY, CA 94063 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | , | | 3623 | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 08/18/2006 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | |
|---|---|---|--|--|--|--|
| Office Action Summary | | 09/476,711 | MCGOVERAN, DAVID O. | | | |
| | | Examiner | Art Unit | | | |
| | | Andre Boyce | 3623 | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply | | | | | | |
| WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r | ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATES of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE | N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | |
| Status | | | | | | |
| 1)[[] | Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 M | av 2006 | | | | |
| · | | action is non-final. | | | | |
| = | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is | | | | | |
| ٠,٠ | closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>112-190 and 192</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | |
| | 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | |
| · | ·_ | | | | | |
| | 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>112-190 and 192</u> is/are rejected. 7)□ Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | |
| - | Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or | election requirement | | | | |
| | | oloolon requirement. | | | | |
| Applicati | on Papers | | | | | |
| - | The specification is objected to by the Examine | | | | | |
| 10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| | Applicant may not request that any objection to the | drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See | e 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | | | |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | | |
| Priority u | nder 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: | | | | | | |
| | 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. | | | | | |
| | 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No | | | | | |
| | 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage | | | | | |
| * 0 | application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | | | | | |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Attachment | | <u></u> | | | | |
| | e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da | | | | |
| 3) 🔲 Inform | nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date | | atent Application (PTO-152) | | | |

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

- This Final office action is in response to Applicant's amendment filed May 25,
 Claims 112 and 192 have been amended. Claim 191 has been canceled.
 Claims 112-190 and 192 are pending.
- 2. The previously pending rejections to claims 112-192 under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, have been withdrawn.
- Applicant's arguments filed May 25, 2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 4. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 5. Claims 112-190 and 192 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. Here, the result of the invention lacks concreteness, since the result is not assured and reproducible, as discussed below. As such, the

invention cannot be used as intended without undue experimentation, and is therefore not enabled.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

6. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

7. Claims 112-190 and 192 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.

For a claimed invention to be statutory, the claimed invention must produce a useful, concrete, and tangible result. In order to be considered useful, the claimed invention must possess a specific, substantial, and credible utility. In order to be concrete, the result must be assured and reproducible. In order to be tangible, the invention must provide a real world result and must involve more than a manipulation of an abstract idea.

In the present case, independent claims 112 and 192 provide no concrete result.

Claim 112 recites declaring and stating an objective, declaring and stating at least one objective rule set, delegating to at least one specific set of actors, determining the satisfaction of an rule's condition, modifying at least on element, etc. However, the claimed invention is merely a "reasoning paradigm," (i.e., theoretical approach/roadmap) as described by Applicant, and produces no concrete result.

Moreover, this reasoning paradigm (i.e., claimed invention) is subjective, whereby the result is neither assured nor repeatable. Claims 113-190 are rejected based

upon the same rationale. Claim 192 recites means for accepting, comparing, delegating, determining, modifying, etc. However, these limitations provide no concrete result, since the result cannot be assured or reproduced, as discussed above.

Response to Arguments

8. In the Remarks, Applicant argues that the totality of claims 112 and 192, including executing automatically at least a subset of the dynamic pattern of operations that progresses towards said objective, and means for using said set of steps to further the attainment of a goal, respectively, effect a useful, concrete, and tangible result. The Examiner respectfully disagrees and submits that as discussed above, Applicant's limitations provide a reasoning paradigm that provides no concrete result, i.e., the result cannot be assured or reproduced. In addition, the affidavit of Professor Bidgoli makes no mention of the concreteness of the invention, and is, in any event, just one of many factors with respect to the Examiner's determination of whether the invention is statutory under 35 USC § 101.

Conclusion

9. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within

TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Andre Boyce whose telephone number is (571) 272-6726. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:30-6pm M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tariq Hafiz can be reached on (571) 272-6729. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the

Page 6

automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

adb

August 7, 2006

Michelle Tarae C. Michelle Tarae Patent Examiner Art Unit 3623